2004-05 HERI Faculty Survey		Oberlin	Peer Group
	TON COALC:		
Q20 IMPORTANCE OF EDUCAT Develop Ability to Think Critically	Somewhat Important	1.1%	0.9%
Develop home, to Trank Chacan,	Very Important	12.8%	
	Essential	86.2%	
Prepare for Employment	Not Important	8.4%	
repare for Employment	Somewhat Important		
	Very Important	44.2%	
	Essential	36.8%	
Propers for Craduate Education		10.5%	
Prepare for Graduate Education	Not Important	0.4.00/	1.2%
	Somewhat Important	24.2%	
	Very Important	63.2%	
	Essential	12.6%	
Develop Moral Character	Not Important	18.9%	
	Somewhat Important	41.1%	
	Very Important	29.5%	
	Essential	10.5%	
Provide for Emotional Development	Not Important	24.2%	
	Somewhat Important	53.7%	
	Very Important	16.8%	24.9%
	Essential	5.3%	6.5%
Help Develop Personal Values	Not Important	22.1%	11.8%
	Somewhat Important	48.4%	44.4%
	Very Important	23.2%	31.4%
	Essential	6.3%	12.4%
Enhance Self-understanding	Not Important	13.8%	5.0%
	Somewhat Important	39.4%	38.6%
	Very Important	33.0%	35.2%
	Essential	13.8%	21.2%
Instill Commitment to Community	Not Important	30.5%	22.2%
Svc	Somewhat Important	51.6%	
	Very Important	12.6%	
	Essential	5.3%	
Prepare for Responsible Citizenship	Not Important	11.6%	
	Somewhat Important	41.1%	
	Very Important	34.7%	
	Essential	12.6%	
Enhance Knowledge of Race/Eth	Not Important	23.2%	
Groups	Somewhat Important	31.6%	
	Very Important	28.4%	
	Essential	16.8%	
Help Master Knowledge in Discipline		10.076	0.6%
The state of the s	Somewhat Important	4.2%	
	Very Important	4.2%	
	Essential	52.6%	
	Losoniai	52.0%	55.0%

2004-05 HERI Faculty Survey		Oberlin	Peer Group
Develop Creative Capacities	Not Important	4.2%	2.8%
	Somewhat Important	23.2%	27.7%
	Very Important	45.3%	40.2%
	Essential	27.4%	29.3%
Instill Appreciation of Liberal Arts	Not Important	3.2%	1.9%
	Somewhat Important	24.5%	19.9%
	Very Important	39.4%	46.0%
	Essential	33.0%	32.3%
Enhance Spiritual Development	Not Important	59.6%	49.2%
	Somewhat Important	26.6%	36.4%
	Very Important	8.5%	11.8%
	Essential	5.3%	2.5%
Promote Ability to Write Effectively	Not Important	3.2%	1.2%
	Somewhat Important	16.8%	5.6%
	Very Important	31.6%	29.1%
	Essential	48.4%	64.1%
Facilitate Search for	Not Important	24.5%	18.8%
Meaning/Purpose	Somewhat Important	44.7%	42.2%
	Very Important	22.3%	26.6%
	Essential	8.5%	12.5%

		<del>- 1</del>	
Q.28 IMPORTANCE OF PRIORI			
Promote Intellectual Development	Medium Priority		1.2%
	High Priority	10.8%	11.5%
	Highest Priority	89.2%	87.2%
Dev Community among	Low Priority	6.5%	5.0%
Students/Faculty	Medium Priority	40.9%	21.2%
	High Priority	40.9%	50.5%
	Highest Priority	11.8%	23.4%
Dev Leadership Ability in Students	Low Priority	11.8%	6.5%
	Medium Priority	38.7%	36.1%
	High Priority	38.7%	37.4%
	Highest Priority	10.8%	19.9%
Teach Students How to Change	Low Priority	7.5%	7.8%
Society	Medium Priority	24.7%	33.3%
	High Priority	37.6%	39.3%
	Highest Priority	30.1%	19.6%
Increase/Maintain Institutional	Low Priority	4.3%	3.7%
Prestige	Medium Priority	22.6%	24.3%
	High Priority	48.4%	38.0%
	Highest Priority	24.7%	34.0%
Hire Faculty "Stars"	Low Priority	32.3%	54.8%
	Medium Priority	40.9%	28.3%
	High Priority	20.4%	12.5%
	Highest Priority	6.5%	4.4%
Recruit More Minority Students	Low Priority	4.3%	2.2%
	Medium Priority	26.1%	17.4%
	High Priority	50.0%	47.0%
	Highest Priority	19.6%	33.3%
Enhance Intitution's National Image	Low Priority	2.2%	2.5%
· ·	Medium Priority	18.3%	18.8%
	High Priority	43.0%	37.3%
	Highest Priority	36.6%	41.4%
Create Multicultrual Environment	Low Priority	2.2%	1.3%
	Medium Priority	21.5%	15.9%
	High Priority	49.5%	44.4%
	Highest Priority	26.9%	38.4%
Mentor New Faculty	Low Priority	7.5%	5.6%
	Medium Priority	47.3%	38.1%
	High Priority	36.6%	41.3%
	Highest Priority	8.6%	41.3% 15.0%
Promote Gender Equity among	Low Priority	11.8%	
Faculty	Medium Priority		9.1%
•	High Priority	33.3%	35.6%
	-	37.6%	34.1%
	Highest Priority	17.2%	21.1%

2004-05 HERI Faculty Survey		Oberlin	Peer Group
Resources for Cmty-Based	Low Priority	27.2%	27.0%
Teaching/Res	Medium Priority	51.1%	40.9%
	High Priority	21.7%	22.0%
	Highest Priority		10.1%
Create/Sustain Partnerships with	Low Priority	23.9%	31.3%
Cmties	Medium Priority	48.9%	42.0%
	High Priority	25.0%	19.7%
	Highest Priority	2.2%	6.9%
Pursue Estramural Funding	Low Priority	21.7%	10.6%
	Medium Priority	40.2%	36.0%
	High Priority	34.8%	37.0%
	Highest Priority	3.3%	16.4%
Increase Minorities in Faculty/Admin	Low Priority	8.6%	4.7%
	Medium Priority	36.6%	20.6%
	High Priority	41.9%	45.6%
	Highest Priority	12.9%	29.1%
Increase Women in Faculty/Admin	Low Priority	7.5%	11.9%
	Medium Priority	40.9%	37.3%
	High Priority	44.1%	36.7%
	Highest Priority	7.5%	14.1%

Q.32 METHODS OF INSTRUC	TION:			
Class Discussions	None		3.2%	1.8%
	Some		14.9%	12.8%
	Most		17.0%	15.3%
	All		64.9%	70.0%
Cmty Svc as part of Coursework	None		79.8%	81.3%
	Some		17.0%	14.4%
	Most		2.1%	3.1%
	All		1.1%	1.2%
Cooperative Learning	None		20.2%	7.4%
	Some		33.0%	32.9%
	Most		22.3%	23.7%
	All		24.5%	36.0%
Essay Mid-terms/Finals	None		24.5%	16.5%
	Some		16.0%	22.3%
		21.3%	20.5%	
	All		38.3%	40.7%
Extensive Lecturing	None		16.0%	20.8%
	Some		36.2%	44.6%
	Most		26.6%	22.0%
	All		21.3%	12.5%
Grading on a Curve	None		51.1%	56.1%
	Some		21.3%	17.5%
	Most		13.8%	10.1%
	All		13.8%	16.3%
Group Projects	None		27.7%	13.8%
	Some		36.2%	48.9%
	Most		20.2%	22.2%
	All		16.0%	15.1%
Multiple-Choice Mid-terms/Finals	None		80.9%	82.0%
	Some		18.1%	13.5%
	Most		1.1%	2.1%
	All			2.4%
Multiple Drafts of Written Work	None		31.2%	18.7%
	Some		41.9%	40.2%
	Most		12.9%	22.7%
	All		14.0%	18.4%
On-line Instruction	None		68.1%	74.5%
	Some		24.5%	20.9%
	Most		3.2%	2.2%
	All		4.3%	2.5%

2004-05 HERI Faculty Survey		Oberlin	Peer Group
Readings on Racial/Ethnic Issues	None	55.3%	43.7%
	Some	26.6%	27.2%
	Most	10.6%	13.5%
	All	7.4%	15.6%
Readings on Women/Gender Issues	None	53.2%	38.5%
	Some	24.5%	31.8%
	Most	14.9%	14.4%
	All	7.4%	15.3%
Recitals/Demonstrations	None	57.9%	52.6%
	Some	13.7%	25.5%
	Most	12.6%	14.8%
	All	15.8%	
Reflective Writing/Journaling	None	56.4%	
	Some	28.7%	
	Most	8.5%	
	All	6.4%	
Short-answer Mid-terms/Finals	None	40.4%	
	Some	30.9%	
	Most	16.0%	
	All	12.8%	
Student Evals of Each Other's Work	None	42.6%	
	Some	39.4%	
	Most	8.5%	
	All	9.6%	
Student Evals of Own Work	None	54.3%	
	Some	23.4%	
	Most	8.5%	
	All	13.8%	
Student Presentations	None	8.4%	3.1%
otadone i rodontationo	Some		
	Most	41.1% 29.5%	38.5% 30.3%
	All	29.5% 21.1%	30.3% 28.1%
Student-selected course Topics	None	46.7%	
Cladent delected course Topics	Some		
	Most	37.0%	
	All	9.8%	8.3%
Teaching Assistants	None	6.5%	4.9%
reaching Assistants		68.1%	
	Some	30.9%	
	Most	1.1%	8.0%
Tarma/Dagages Dagage	All	00 :0:	3.1%
Term/Research Papers	None	23.4%	10.1%
	Some	41.5%	
	Most	19.1%	
	All	16.0%	20.2%